

United States Patent and Trademark Office

١	^	
3	٠.	_ /
	*	_/
	(1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,115	03/11/2004	Chih-Ching Hsien	GFP-2402	4672	
7	590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Mr. Phillip LI 6980, Whiteoal			SMITH, JAMES G		
Richmond, BC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA		3723	-		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	e.D			
Office Action Summary		10/797,11	5	HSIEN, CHIH-CHING				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		James G.		3723	·			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the material p	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuod will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	,	his action is n						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex. parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	,					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n						
7)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		nsideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/arc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action of form FTC	<i>)</i> -132.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the provided the provided copies of the provided cop				Stage			
	application from the International Bur			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	go			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a			ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		5) Notice of Informal F		152)			
· —	er No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,115 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,860,176 in view of any of Locke, Mahoney or Pasbrig. The use of a compressible member as an equivalent to a spring member is shown to be well known by any of Locke, Mahoney or Pasbrig. The shape and form of the compressible member is also obvious to one skilled in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weisman in view of either Wood or Tenuta.

Weisman shows the claimed invention except for the use of an annular flange of greater diameter than the polygonal socket to not engage the workpiece. Either Wood or Tenuta suggests that a driver can have such an annular flange for the same purpose as that of applicant. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weisman by using an annular flange as a non-engagement area <u>because</u> either Wood or Tenuta suggests the use of such a flange in socket or box types of wrenches for the purpose of non-engagement of the workpiece.

- 5. The remaining references are cited only as of interest at this time.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3723

jgs 3/21/05